

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/822,231

Applicant(s)

LAZAR ET AL.

Examiner

Chun Crowder

Art Unit

1644

All Participants:

(1) Chun Crowder.

(2) Phillip Gambel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robin Silva.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01/29/07, 02/21/07

Time: _____

Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant



Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes



No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of record

Claims discussed:

pending proposed

Prior art documents discussed:

of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

10/822,231

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The elected species 332E is allowable provided the amendments (01/17/2007) filed after the final rejection were entered ; applicant is repeatedly suggested canceling non-elected species including nonelected positions and functional limitations that are not encompassed by 332E. However, no agreement was reached. Therefore, the amendments filed 01/17/2007 will not be entered (see Advisory Action, mailed 02/28/2007) for detailed analysis.

PTOL-413B

20070227